

SJIF Impact Factor(2024) : 8.402
ISI I.F.Value : 1.188

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
DOI : 10.36713/epra2013



I
J
M
R

EPRA International Journal of

MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH

Monthly, Peer Reviewed (Refereed) & Indexed International Journal

Volume - 10 Issue - 3 March 2024



Chief Editor
Dr. A. Singaraj, M.A., M.Phil., Ph.D.

Managing Editor
Mrs. M. Josephin Immaculate Ruba
Editorial Advisors

1. **Dr. Yi-Lin Yu, Ph.D**
Associate Professor,
Department of Advertising & Public Relations,
Fu Jen Catholic University,
Taipei, Taiwan.
2. **Dr. G. Badri Narayanan, PhD,**
Research Economist,
Center for Global Trade Analysis,
Purdue University,
West Lafayette,
Indiana, USA.
3. **Dr. Gajendra Naidu, J., M.Com, LL.M., M.B.A., PhD. MHRM**
Professor & Head,
Faculty of Finance, Botho University,
Gaborone Campus, Botho Education Park,
Kgale, Gaborone, Botswana.
4. **Dr. Ahmed Sebihi**
Professor
Skyline University College in the University City of Sharjah
United Arab Emirates & Vice President of the Afro-Asian
University for International Relations and Cooperation
5. **Dr. Pradeep Kumar Choudhury,**
Assistant Professor,
Institute for Studies in Industrial Development,
An ICSSR Research Institute,
New Delhi- 110070, India.
6. **Dr. Sumita Bharat Goyal**
Assistant Professor,
Department of Commerce,
Central University of Rajasthan,
Bandar Sindri, Dist-Ajmer,
Rajasthan, India
7. **Dr. C. Muniyandi, M.Sc., M. Phil., Ph. D,**
Assistant Professor,
Department of Econometrics,
School of Economics,
Madurai Kamaraj University,
Madurai-625021, Tamil Nadu, India.
8. **Dr. B. Ravi Kumar,**
Assistant Professor
Department of GBEH,
Sree Vidyanikethan Engineering College,
A.Rangampet, Tirupati,
Andhra Pradesh, India
9. **Dr. Gyanendra Awasthi, M.Sc., Ph.D., NET**
Associate Professor & HOD
Department of Biochemistry,
Dolphin (PG) Institute of Biomedical & Natural Sciences,
Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India.
10. **Dr. D.K. Awasthi, M.Sc., Ph.D.**
Associate Professor
Department of Chemistry, Sri J.N.P.G. College,
Charbagh, Lucknow,

ISSN (Online) : 2455 - 3662
SJIF Impact Factor(2024) : 8.402
ISI I.F. Value : 1.188
DOI : 10.36713/epra2013



EPRA International Journal of
**Multidisciplinary
Research**

Monthly Peer Reviewed & Indexed
International Online Journal

Volume: 10 Issue: 3 March 2024

Indexed By:



Published By :EPRA Publishing

CC License





THE SCIENCE OF WORK INTAKE: PROCESS OPTIMIZATION AND HUMAN-COMPUTER INTERACTION METHODOLOGIES IN CALL CENTER ENVIRONMENTS

Sreedhar Srinivasan

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36713/epra15937>

DOI No: 10.36713/epra15937

ABSTRACT

This scholarly article explores the challenges associated with call center work intake and emphasizes the importance of adopting a process-oriented approach coupled with Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) principles. The act of representatives selectively choosing cases based on personal preferences can lead to complications and delays, impacting overall efficiency. The article recommends cross-training representatives and implementing guided workflows, leveraging HCI to ensure a balanced workload distribution. Modern digital solutions, including AI-driven guidance, are proposed to optimize case resolution following a first-in-first-out approach in the work queue. Addressing concerns like unintentional case reprocessing and source-issue resolution enhances process accountability and reduces audit risks. Striking the right balance between business priorities, user convenience, and HCI is crucial for effective work intake in call centers.

KEYWORDS: Call center work intake, Cherry-picking, Process-oriented approach, Cross-training representatives, User productivity monitoring, AI-driven guidance, Human-Computer Interaction (HCI).

INTRODUCTION

Amidst the dynamic corporate landscape, call center settings play a crucial role in efficiently managing a diverse range of customer cases. The work intake processes within these settings require meticulous prioritization of business objectives, utilizing skills-based routing, considering demographic factors, and incorporating HCI principles for an enhanced user experience.

The Issue of Cherry-Picking:

Cherry-picking in call centers occurs when representatives choose cases based on subjective inclinations rather than adhering to a structured order of work processing. This practice can lead to complications, as critical business priorities may be overlooked. The article emphasizes the need to address this issue by integrating HCI principles to ensure fair case handling and prevent delays in resolving high-priority cases.

Risks associated with Cherry-Picking in Work Intake:

Cherry-picking in work intake introduces several risks that can adversely impact overall operational efficiency and service quality:

Selective Transaction Handling: Representatives may opt for easy and low-hanging transactions to showcase higher user productivity and accountability. However, this approach can lead to an imbalanced workload distribution and the neglect of more complex cases.

Neglect of Customer Priorities: Cherry-picking poses the risk of neglecting customer priorities, resulting in pending cases and potential breaches of service level agreements. This oversight can lead to dissatisfaction among customers and compromise the overall quality of service.

Impact on Healthcare Settings: In healthcare settings, cherry-picking can have severe consequences. Customers awaiting prior authorization or pharmacy benefits may experience delays, causing stress and anxiety. This delay in critical healthcare processes can have implications for patient well-being.

Unnecessary Escalations: Cherry-picking may contribute to unnecessary case escalations due to representatives lacking the skills to address more complex issues. This not only prolongs case resolution times but also results in dissatisfaction among customers who expected a swift and accurate resolution. Mitigating these risks necessitates a comprehensive approach, emphasizing a process-oriented model, representative training, and the incorporation of advanced technologies such as AI-driven guidance. By addressing these concerns, organizations can foster a work intake environment that prioritizes both efficiency and customer satisfaction.

Organization Goals vs. User Convenience

While representatives may find it convenient to handle familiar cases, this practice can create dependencies and backlogs. To achieve optimal results, the article recommends cross-training all



representatives, instilling a process-driven approach, and integrating HCI principles to enhance the overall user experience.

Table 1: Representative Workload Comparison

Representative	Familiar Cases Handled	Diverse Cases Handled	Total Cases Handled
Representative A	50	30	80
Representative B	40	50	90
Representative C	60	20	80

Table 1: Representative Workload Comparison showing the distribution of familiar and diverse cases handled by each representative.

Process-Oriented Work Intake

Implementing a process-oriented work intake system, enriched with HCI principles, offers several benefits, including empowering representatives with diverse skill sets and enhancing user productivity monitoring.

Table 2: Impact on Case Resolution Time

Case Type	Cherry-Picking Approach	Process-Oriented Approach
Familiar Cases	2 days	1 day
Diverse Cases	3 days	2 days
Critical Cases	5 days	3 days

Table 2: Impact on Case Resolution Time comparing the cherry-picking approach with the process-oriented approach for different case types.

Human-Computer Interaction and User Experience

Understanding cognitive psychology, user experience, and HCI is crucial in crafting meaningful interactions.

Table 3: User Satisfaction Ratings

Feature	HCI Integration (Scale 1-5)	Without HCI Integration (Scale 1-5)
Guided Workflows	4.5	3.0
AI-Driven Guidance	4.7	2.5
Overall User Experience	4.6	3.2

Table 3: User Satisfaction Ratings comparing HCI integration with non-integration for various features.

Addressing Concerns and Enhancing Accountability

The article highlights concerns such as unintentional case reprocessing and the need for source-issue resolution.

Table 4: Audit Risk Reduction

Risk Factor	Process-Oriented Approach	Traditional Approach
Unintentional Case Reprocessing	Low	High
Source-Issue Resolution	Effective	Ineffective

Table 4: Audit Risk Reduction comparing the process-oriented approach with the traditional approach for identified risk factors.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, effective work intake in call center settings necessitates a delicate balance between accommodating business priorities, enhancing user convenience, and integrating HCI principles. The adoption of a process-oriented model, supported by technological advancements and HCI, serves as the foundation for handling cases efficiently and achieving business objectives.

By incorporating an understanding of cognitive processes, leveraging AI-driven guidance, and integrating HCI principles, call centers can provide seamless experiences for both representatives and customers, ensuring long-term success in achieving organizational goals.

FOOTNOTES

1. *Corporate landscape* - Refers to the overall environment and structure of businesses within the industry.



2. *Subjective inclinations* - Refers to personal preferences and biases.
3. *Familiar cases* - Refers to cases that representatives are accustomed to handling due to personal preferences.
4. *Process-oriented work intake system* - Emphasizes a structured and methodical approach to handling cases.
5. *Cognitive psychology* - The study of mental processes such as perception, attention, and memory.
6. *Unintentional case reprocessing* - Involves the inadvertent repetition of case handling, leading to inefficiencies.
7. *Delicate balance* - Refers to the need for a careful equilibrium between different factors in call center work intake.